EXHIBIT V

SAMPLE CONSIDOR #12	md-02327
16542	

7 Document 2209 4 Filed 051416 Page 2 of 2-PageID # 1988 TOLER COLLEGE

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT

V. AGARWAL 64523 X2205 July 2192 16102 DDG 2019 7 YEAR EARDERS X2205 July 2192 16102 DDG 2019 7 YEAR EARDERS X2200 JULY 2192 16102 1. PACIENCE SITE 2 JULY 5-0 Samples 1. PACIENCE SITE 3 SAMPLES FOR 10 YEAR SCALEDOORS 1. PACIENCE SITE 1 SAMPLES FOR 10 YEAR SCALEDOORS 1. PACIENCE SITE 1 SAMPLES FOR 10 YEAR SCALEDOORS 1. PACIENCE SITE 1 SAMPLES FOR 10 YEAR SCALEDOORS 1. PACIENCE SITE 1 SAMPLES FOR 10 YEAR SCALEDOORS 1. PACIENCE SITE 3 SAMPLES FOR SAMPLES 1. PACIENCE SAMPLES FOR	REQUESTOR			DATE SUBMITTED	PROJECT NO.	REQUESTOR'S MANAGERAUT	HORIZATION			
SAMPLE DETINITION STEED STOTES CONTINUES PREADURED IN PROBLEM STATE 2 STEED SOUTH STEED STATE 2 STOTES SOUTH SOUTH STEED SOUTH SOUTH STEED SOUTH SOUTH STEED SOUTH		/_	(2205	Tuly 27 92	16102					
2. ETHILON SIRE DOG ## 2019 3. ETHILON SIRE 1 7year Explant 1. Nev AFIL Sire 1 Samples for 10 year Protected BSR study ATTIONED SENTO DEPARCEMENT AND SAMPLES TESTREBORT Samples prepared as het present films using the het stage: Displant Site 1: 18 spectrum corresponds to Neuctil SITE 2: 18 spectrum corresponds to Neuctil SITE 3: PRIVED SITE 5: PRIVED SITE 5: PRIVED SITE 6: PRIVED MUN AND NUM AND ETHILON SITE 5 1.00 ETHILON SITE 5 1.00 ETHILON SITE 5 1.00 AND AND NUM AND ETHILON SITE 5 1.00 AND AND NUM AND CONTROLL SITE 1 32,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SITE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 RESTRICTED SITE 3 331,000 44,000 DOG #2019 SITE 3 331,000 57,000 DOG #2019 SITE 5 500 DOG #201	(DOG 2019) (7 YEAR EXPLANT)									
TESTREPORT Samples prepared as hot presul films using the hit stage: Dog 2019, SITE 1: IR spentrum corresponds to Noveth. SITE 2: IR spentrum corresponds to Noveth. SITE 3: "PROLEME SITE 4: "PROLEME SITE 5: ""ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHILON TO NO 2, UP SITE 6: "ETHILON TO NO 2, UP SITE 6: "ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHILON TO NO 2, UP SITE 6: "ETHILON TO NO 2, UP SITE 6: "ETHILON TO NO 2, UP SITE 6: "ETHILON SITE 6: "ETH	2- ETHILON SIRE 3. ETHILON SIRE 4. NOVAFIL SIRE 5- PVDF SIRE 4 SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Dog # 6 Tyear 1 Samples Prolene	explant for lo BSR st	NMR MS U/V OTHER	GC GPC DS TLC TV TG LC ************************************	A HSM 0, H,O IA SAM DYE MA EDXA CRYST TO DOCUMENTED	ETO MONO			
SITE 2: IR Spectrum corresponds to Novertil. SITE 2: IR Spectrum corresponds to PROLENE SITE 4: "PUDE SITE 5: "ETHICAN SITE 6: "ETHICA	\cup		BURKLE	· 🔾 🖈		NQ SAM	PLES			
SITE 2: IR Spectrum carresponds to PROLENE SITE 3: "PROLENE SITE 4: "PROLENE SITE 5: "ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHILON SAMPLE IV Idig ETHILON SITES 1.00 AND MAN MUM/MN ETHILON SITES 72,000 21,000 2: 4 ETHILON SITES 72,000 21,000 3: 3 NOVAFIL SIE 1 32,000 13,000 2: 4 RETHILON SITES 72,000 13,000 13,000 2: 4 RETHILON SITES 72,000 13,0	TESTREPORT Samples prepared as hot-pressed films using the hot stage:									
SITE 3: "PROLENE SITE 4: "PVDE SITE 5: ""ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHILON SITE 6: "ETHIL	'									
SITE 4: SITE 5: SITE 6: SITE 6: STHILON STHILON STHILON SITE 6: STHILON STHILON STHILON STHILON SHIP STHILON STHILON STHILON STHILON STHILON SHIP			specific (mischongs i		•	•			
SITE 5: "" SITE 6: "" STHELON SITE 6: "" STHELON SITE 6: "" STHELON STHELON STHELON STHELON SHOPPE. IV/dig ETHILON Site 5 1.00 ETHILON Site 5 1.00 ETHILON Site 6 1.116 NOVAHIL Site 1 0.87 Robert Regional NB 25/9-69 Smantficient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE MW MN NW/MN ETHILON Site 5 72,000 21,000 2.4 ETHILON Site 5 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAHIL Site 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robert Robert Prolene 4/0 324 000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 333,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 334,000 57,000 CONSUSTINGENIES Typen explants to convent prolene indicate indica			*	- w						
inoufficient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE IV dig ETHILDN SiteS 1.00 ETHILDN SiteS 1.16 NOVAFIL SITE 0.87 Asbir Region & NB 25/9-69 Snowfficient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE NOVAFIL SITE 0.87 Asbir Region & NB 25/9-69 Snowfficient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE NOVAFIL SITE 0.87 Asbir Region & NB 25/9-69 STATION SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAFIL SITE 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May Le NB 25/9-71 MW MAN Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 332,000 57,000 Conscious of Typen explants to current prolene indicate REF /R Sh 34/80 NO MANUST			. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	もろりた					
SAMPLE. IV Idig ETHION SIES 1.00 NOVAFIL SIE 1 0.87 Asbir Rayland NB 25/9-69 Shample MW MN MW/MN ETHION SIES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHION SIES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAFIL SIE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Rabin Mayle a NB 25/9-71 MW MN Current Problem 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 332 000 57,000 Consportance of Theorem explants to current probleme indicate field 34/80 No molaculal weigh digradation ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST RIM WHO POPT MANAGER DATE ANALYST DATE ANALYST BURNERYSON DATE ANALYST DATE ANALY		SITE 5: "	(4	** n	ETHILON	1				
SAMPLE. IV Idig ETHION SIES 1.00 NOVAFIL SIE 1 0.87 Asbir Rayland NB 25/9-69 Shample MW MN MW/MN ETHION SIES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHION SIES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAFIL SIE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Rabin Mayle a NB 25/9-71 MW MN Current Problem 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 332 000 57,000 Consportance of Theorem explants to current probleme indicate field 34/80 No molaculal weigh digradation ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST RIM WHO POPT MANAGER DATE ANALYST DATE ANALYST BURNERYSON DATE ANALYST DATE ANALY	<u>.</u>	SITE 61 "	u	ω	ETHILON	1/4/	. /			
SAMPLE. IV dig ETHILDN site 5 1.00 ETHILDN site 6 1.16 NOVAFIL SITE 0.87 Robin / Raylon 18 2519-69 Smanflicient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE MW MN MW/MN ETHILDN site 5 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN site 6 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL Site 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin / Raylon & NB 2519-71 MW MW MW Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Consultations comments Consultations comments Consultations of Theor explants to current prolene indicate REF / R fil 34180 ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST NOW MW GATE SECTION MANAGER DATE DATE ANALYST FOR ANALYST SUPERNYSOF PARK DEPT. MANAGER DATE		_ ,	a siolene	マア		1 smit & Ba	8/5/92			
ETHILDN site 5 1.00 ETHILDN site 6 1.16 NOVAFIL SITE 1 0.87 Robin Ray and NB 2519-69 Summflicient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE MW MW MW MW ETHILDN site 5 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN site 6 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAFIL Site 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May NB 2519-71 MW MW Current Prolene 4/6 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 44,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 332,000 57,000 CONSUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparison of 7 year explants to current prolene indicate REF 1R of 6 34150 no molacular weigh digradation ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WW DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WAR ANALYST DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WAR ANALYST DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WAR ANALYST DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WAR ANALYST DOTE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM ANALYST R WW WAR ANALYST DOTE SECTION MANAGER DATE	SAMOLE		0			100	7 / "			
ETHILDN SITE 6 1.16 NOVAFIL SITE 1 0.87 Robin Regional NB 2519-69 Smartficient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE MW MN NW/MN ETHILDN SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 72,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SITE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May No NB 2519-71 MW MAY Current Prolene 40 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 SITE 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 SITE 3 332,000 57,000 CONSTRUCTION OF THE ANALYST ROW MAY STUDIER INDICATE ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST ROW MAY DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYST ANALYST DATE ANALYST ROW MAY DEPT. MANAGER DATE		_				· .				
NOVAFIL SITE 0.87 Robin Ray land NB 25/9-69 Shoutflicient sample for prolene IV SAMPLE MW MN MW/MN ETHTION SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITE 6 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVAFIL SITE 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May C NB 25/9-71 MW MA MA TO THE STAND SITE OF THE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST FROM SITE OF THE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST SUPERNISON DATE ANALYST DEPT. MANAGER DATE		-								
ETHILDN SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SIZE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May NB 2519-71 MW MN Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 332,000 57,000 CONGRUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparison of Typen explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algoritation ANALYST FROM ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM SIZE ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE	ETALLON SITE 6	16	1 - 00	10						
ETHILDN SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SIZE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May NB 2519-71 MW MN Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 332,000 57,000 CONGRUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparison of Typen explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algoritation ANALYST FROM ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM SIZE ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE	NOVAFIL SITE!	87 R1	bin Kkaj l	and NB	2519-69					
ETHILDN SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SIZE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May NB 2519-71 MW MN Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 332,000 57,000 CONGRUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparison of Typen explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algoritation ANALYST FROM ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM SIZE ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE	Surullicient on	mole los p	rolene IV	/						
ETHILDN SITES 72,000 21,000 3.4 ETHILDN SITES 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SIZE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May NB 2519-71 MW MN Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 331,000 64,000 Dog # 2019 SIZE 3 332,000 57,000 CONGRUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparison of Typen explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algoritation ANALYST FROM ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER ANALYST FROM SIZE ANALYST RIMM RIMM CONTENT SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE	Sample M	W MA	i N	W/MX						
ETH I LON 3.46 74,000 22,000 3.3 NOVATIL SIE 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin May La NB 2519-71 Mw Mr. Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog# 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 CONSTRUCTION OF The application FIFT Robin 34150 consparious of The application as a planto to current prolene indicate of the section manager of the application as 2502-94 ANALYST FROM ROBIN ROBIN ROBIN PATE DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYST FROM ROBIN ROBIN ROBIN DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PATE DEPT. MANAGER DATE	ETHTIANICHE	IN DI								
NOVafil Str. 1 32,000 13,000 2.4 Robin Muy Mr. Current Prolene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog # 2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 Construsions icomments Comparison of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate not mulecular weigh algradation ANALYST F. SATE ANALYST N. M. M. SECTION MANAGER ANALYST F. SATE ANALYST N. M. M. SECTION MANAGER ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PART DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PART DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PART DEPT. MANAGER DATE	l		←	27						
Current Pholene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog#2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 Consclusions; comments Comparison of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algorithm ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST NOME OF THE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE	EIHTEN SHEP	17,000 44	,000	5 J		<i>:</i>				
Current Pholene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog#2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 Consclusions; comments Comparison of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algorithm ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST NOME OF THE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE	NOVatil stel =	32,000 13.	,000	3,4						
Current Pholene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog#2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 Consclusions; comments Comparison of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algorithm ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST NOME OF THE SECTION MANAGER ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE		Kos	bin May	/Ca NB	32519-11					
Current Palene 4/0 324,000 60,000 Dog#2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog# 2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 Consciousionsicomments Comparison of Tyear explants to current prolene indicate no molecular weigh algradation DATE ANALYST PAIN COMPANY DATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST PAIN STISUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PAIN DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYSTISUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR PAIN DEPT. MANAGER DATE		Mw	Mr /	•						
Dog#2019 Site 3 331,000 64,000 Dog#2019 Site 2 332,000 57,000 CONSCIUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparion of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate No molecular weigh digradation DATE AMALYST ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST DATE ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST DATE ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST DATE ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE	Current Prolene 4/0	324 000	60,000			7-28-72	-12			
Dogth 2019 Site2 332,000 57,000 CONSCIUSIONSICOMMENTS Comparion of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate No molecular weigh algradation DATE AMALYST ANALYST ANALYS	,	,	-							
CONSTIGUENTS Comparison of 1 year explants to current prolene indicate No neclecular weigh degradation DATE AMALYST DATE AMALYST ROLL WHAT PAPE DEPT. MANAGER DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE	Death 2019 Site 3		•							
ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE				0	- BEF (A	N 24.5				
ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE	Comparison of Typan	explanto to	current prob	lene indica	256	7-94				
ANALYST DATE ANALYST DATE SECTION MANAGER DATE ANALYST SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE	, no motecular weigh	- Janearo	~		<i>2-34</i> .	- · ·				
ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DATE DATE	1 / / /		i	•						
ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE ANALYST/SUPERVISOR DATE DEPT. MANAGER DATE	ANALYST /	DATE ANALYST	D //	104)	DATE SECTION N	MANAGER	DATE			
	AND VST/SUPERVEROR	+ <i>f</i>	1 K-1004 10	VILLOR 7	12/14	IACER	DATE			
Leugere muse 10/9/92 Causene Muse 9/2/1/2	Leugene meise.		ane Mis	$ \dot{q} $	21/12	NAGER	DATE			
EHO 206 (Rev 4/85) ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DEPT COPY	É1-40 206 (Rev 4185)		— · L	STRY DEPT CO	PY		<u> </u>			